LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

MEETING OF THE OPERATIONS AND REGULATIONS COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

Friday, July 30, 2010

5:25 p.m.

Hyatt Regency Milwaukee 333 W. Kilbourn Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Thomas R. Meites, Chairperson Jonann C. Chiles (by telephone) Robert J. Grey, Jr. Charles N.W. Keckler Laurie I. Mikva John G. Levi, ex officio

OTHER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Sharon L. Browne Thomas A. Fuentes (by telephone) Victor B. Maddox Martha L. Minow Hon. Sarah M. Singleton (by telephone) STAFF AND PUBLIC PRESENT:

Victor M. Fortuno, Interim President and General Counsel Kathleen Connors, Executive Assistant to the President Patricia Batie, Acting Corporate Secretary and FOIA Officer, Office of Legal Affairs Mattie Cohan, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs Karen J. Sarjeant, Vice President for Programs and Compliance David L. Richardson, Treasurer and Comptroller Charles Jeffress, Chief Administrative Officer Linda Mullenbach, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs David Maddox, Assistant Inspector General for Management and Evaluation, Office of the Inspector General Joel Gallay, Special Counsel to the Inspector General, Office of the Inspector General Laurie Tarantowicz, Assistant Inspector General and Legal Counsel, Office of the Inspector General John Constance, Director, Government Relations and Public Affairs Office Stephen Barr, Media Relations Director, Government Relations and Public Affairs Office Danilo A. Cardona, Director, Office of Compliance and Enforcement Kamala Srinavasagam, Program Counsel III, Office of Compliance and Enforcement Jonathan D. Asher, Executive Director, Colorado Legal Services The Reverend Pius Pietrzyk, O.P., Board Nominee Julie Reiskin, LSC Board Nominee Gloria Valencia-Weber, LSC Board Nominee Thomas Smegal, Smegal Law Firm Don Saunders, National Legal Aid and Defenders Association (NLADA) Linda Perle, Center for Law & Social Policy (CLASP)

CONTENTS

OPEN	SESSION	PAGE
1.	Approval of agenda	б
2.	Approval of the minutes of the Committee's open session meeting of April 17, 2010	7
3.	Approval of minutes of the Committee's joint meeting of June 15, 2010 with the Audit Committee	8
4.	Consider and act on potential initiation of rulemaking to amend 45 CFR Part 1622 to remove from its requirements the Board's Search and Development Committees and the Board's Governance and Performance Review Committee when it is meeting to consider performance evaluations of the President and Inspector General Presentation by Mattie Cohan, Senior Assistant General Counsel Comment by Laurie Tarantowicz, Assistant Inspector General and Legal Counsel Public Comment	8
5.	Consider and act on the proposed 2011 Grant Assurances Presentation by Karen Sarjeant, Vice President for Programs and Compliance Public Comment	15
6.		
	performance	17

CONTENTS

OPEN	SESSION	PAGE
7.	Briefing on how the Corporation receives and uses timekeeping data collected from grantees related to service delivery	25
8.	Public Comment	None
9.	Consider and act on other business	None
	Adjourn to closed session	29

CLOSED SESSION

- 10. Consider and act on an employee benefits matter
- 11. Consider and act on adjournment of meeting

Motions: 6, 7, 8, 16, 29, 29

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (5:25 p.m.) CHAIRMAN MEITES: All right. I'll call to 3 order the scheduled meeting of the operations and 4 regulations committee. It is now 5:25, and I have a 5 6 proposal for my committee. 7 If you look at the agenda, you'll see that we have a closed session at the end. What I propose to 8 do, and I've asked Pat to see if it can be arranged, 9 that we hold our closed session in another room and use 10 11 a tape recorder for that portion so the finance 12 committee can go ahead with its meeting in here. So that will shorten the amount of time we have to spend 13 14 in here. MR. LEVI: That would be tomorrow? 15 16 MR. GREY: I'm sorry. Finance is tomorrow. 17 MR. LEVI: Finance is tomorrow. It would be 18 tomorrow. 19 CHAIRMAN MEITES: It will be tomorrow. No, 20 but we're not going to -- we are not going to get 21 through our agenda this evening if you want your 22 dinner.

1 MR. GREY: Oh, I see.

2 MR. LEVI: Right. Yes, yes, good. CHAIRMAN MEITES: And in order to facilitate 3 finishing tomorrow and not clogging the system, we'll 4 do our closed session elsewhere. 5 6 If you look at our agenda, the way I see it, we have to act on the grant assurances because 7 management needs that to complete the grantmaking 8 9 process. 10 The item about the Sunshine Act, item 4, I 11 have a very brief suggestion which should facilitate 12 the completion of that. 13 The two items that I would like to spend some 14 time on are items 6 and 7, which Charles asked be put 15 on the agenda. So let's go into the meeting quickly, get as far as we can, and I think we can get to 16 17 Charles' two issues very quickly. All right. I'll have a motion to approve the 18 agenda? 19 20 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 21 MR. GREY: Move the approval. 22 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Second?

1 MS. MIKVA: Second.

CHAIRMAN MEITES: All right. The agenda's 2 approved. 3 4 I'll receive a motion to approve the minutes of our meeting of April 17, 2010. Is there a motion? 5 6 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ MS. MIKVA: Move to approve. 7 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Second? 8 MR. GREY: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN MEITES: All right. No nays being 10 11 heard, it is approved. 12 And similarly, I will accept a motion to approve the minutes of our joint meeting with the Audit 13 Committee on June 15, 2010. Is there a motion? 14 15 PROFESSOR KECKLER: Mr. Chairman, there's a 16 slight typo in those minutes. CHAIRMAN MEITES: Please. 17 PROFESSOR KECKLER: Within those minutes, it 18 indicates -- it repeats that the Audit Committee 19 20 members were present, and then the second listed is 21 also listed as the Audit Committee, whereas in fact it 22 is this committee.

1 CHAIRMAN MEITES: All right. So with that amendment, which I trust staff will make, could I have 2 a motion to approve the minutes? 3 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 4 5 PROFESSOR KECKLER: So moved. 6 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Second? MS. MIKVA: Second. 7 8 CHAIRMAN MEITES: And they are approved. If you look, our next item is consideration of 9 a potential rulemaking to remove from 45 CFR Part 1622 10 11 the requirement that the board Search and Development 12 Committees and Governance and Performance Review Committee be subject in all respects to what I'll call 13 the Sunshine Act, though it's a little more complicated 14 15 than that. It's actually not subject to the Sunshine 16 Act, not by virtue of the Act but by virtue of our 17 regulation.

18 If you look at management's presentation, 19 which is in one of the tabs, you'll see at page 67 of 20 the board book management first of all does not 21 recommend that we adopt the change. Instead, 22 management suggests as an alternative -- I'm reading

the final paragraph on page 67 -- management recommends that LSC develop guidelines and a protocol for streamlining the necessary administrative requirements in connection with meetings, or portions thereof, of the committees which will likely qualify for closure under the Sunshine Act in Part 1622.

7 Management and the Office of the Inspector 8 General had previously begun work on such a protocol 9 for the committee. Management believes that resuming 10 that work might provide a way to meet the committee's 11 concern without actually amending the Corporation's 12 regulations.

What I propose is we direct the OIG and 13 management, in fact, to complete that work, to bring it 14 to us, and we consider it at the same time we consider 15 16 potentially amending the rulemaking. If that makes 17 sense to you all, I'll just table this for this 18 meeting, roll it over to the next meeting, at which 19 point I would expect OIG and management to have their 20 recommendation.

Does that make sense to -- Charles?
PROFESSOR KECKLER: I would ask one more item

of management, though. The key -- there's reasons to
 deal with these other committees that have been
 expressed or discussed in management's presentation.
 But the Search Committee is obviously a time-limited
 issue.

6 Our capacity for the use of this depends on 7 timeliness with regard to that particular committee, so 8 that I would ask that management -- or I propose asking 9 management to prepare a potential rulemaking for the 10 Search Committee singly, possibly one that could be 11 implemented in a timely fashion.

12 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Let me pick up what Charles 13 said. I was asleep at the switch. We are about to 14 have a search, and that search will be subject to 1622 15 unless, as Charles suggests, we do something before 16 then.

17 Rather than wait till the next board meeting, 18 I would propose that we set some time limit for OIG and 19 management to get us their protocol, and we hold a 20 special meeting of our committee to consider it as soon 21 as we get it. Does that make sense? I want to have 22 something in place, if need be, before the presidential

1 selection occurs.

2 Mattie, is that doable? Can you get to us 3 a --MR. LEVI: Now, one of the other problems is 4 5 that even to have a closed meeting, you have to have a 6 seven-day notice. And sometimes you don't have --7 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Well, there's lots of ways I was taking my usual leisurely approach, but 8 to go. it doesn't work this time because we have a 9 presidential search. And you all are going to find 10 11 yourselves sitting in a room interviewing candidates. 12 MR. LEVI: No. That's right. And that's what 13 I meant. 14 CHAIRMAN MEITES: And we'd rather -- if you 15 want to do something, you should do it now. 16 How about two weeks? 17 MS. COHAN: Sure. CHAIRMAN MEITES: Okay. Get it to our 18 committee, and as soon as you get it, I will call a 19 20 special committee meeting to consider it and make a recommendation to the board. Is that okay with 21 22 everyone?

1 MR. GREY: That's fine.

CHAIRMAN MEITES: Okay. So we'll --2 MS. CHILES: Tom Meites, this is Jonann 3 I was on hold and just put into the conference 4 Chiles. 5 about one minute ago. I'm present. CHAIRMAN MEITES: Okay. Thank you, Jonann. 6 DEAN MINOW: So recap what happened. 7 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Yes. Jonann, what we 8 decided to do is, in light of presidential selection 9 search that's going on, we're going to have management 10 11 and OIG give us their draft protocol in two weeks. As 12 soon as we get it, I will call a special committee meeting for consideration of the protocol and any other 13 action we might recommend to the board. And if we 14 15 reach a consensus, we'll then notify the board and it 16 can act. 17 MR. LEVI: And she needs to know what you're 18 doing with the rest of your agenda, though. 19 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Oh, the rest of my agenda

20 will be mostly -- the closed portion will be tomorrow 21 morning. I think I can finish the rest of my open 22 portion right now, except for Charles's part.

1 JUDGE SINGLETON: Tom?

CHAIRMAN MEITES: Yes? 2 JUDGE SINGLETON: This is Sarah Singleton. 3 Before you go on, is there any way you could hold your 4 continuation within -- I think it's ten days. If so, I 5 don't think you need to do a new notice. But you might 6 ask Vic, if he's there. 7 PRESIDENT FORTUNO: I think this would be 8 outside of the period that permitted the meeting be 9 adjourned till. I think it's seven --10 JUDGE SINGLETON: Well, what is that period, 11 12 Victor? PRESIDENT FORTUNO: I think it's seven 13 calendar days. I'd have to check the --14 MS. COHAN: I think that's right. 15 16 PRESIDENT FORTUNO: If you just have the 17 Sunshine reg. I believe it's seven calendar days. CHAIRMAN MEITES: Well, there's --18 PRESIDENT FORTUNO: So clearly, it would be 19 outside of that period. 20 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Well, the alternative is to 21 22 pick a date now for us to schedule another meeting.

1 And if we do that, you could give notice right now.

PRESIDENT FORTUNO: Yes. 2 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Okay. Let's pick a date. 3 How about -- two weeks -- the third week in August, 4 which is -- a date that week? Is that satisfactory? 5 6 Say Tuesday, August -- what is that, the 17th or 18th? 7 MS. COHAN: The 17th. The Tuesday of that week is the 17th, I believe. 8 MS. CHILES: That's fine. 9 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Okay. Why don't we just 10 11 arbitrarily, more or less, have a scheduled meeting of 12 this committee for that date to consider -- for further consideration of item -- I think it's 4 on our agenda. 13 14 MS. COHAN: You need a time. CHAIRMAN MEITES: Let's do it at -- what's a 15 16 good time here? Is 11:00 a good time for you, or do 17 you like to start earlier, Mattie? MS. COHAN: No. 11:00 Central time or Eastern 18 19 time? 20 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Your time. 21 MS. COHAN: Eastern time? 11:00 is fine. CHAIRMAN MEITES: Okay. Let's do that. You'll 22

notice it up, and we'll just pick up where we're at.
 And that should work with the presidential search.

Okay. The next item is grant assurances.
Who's going to -- Karen, do you want to briefly review
grant assurances for us?

6 MS. SARJEANT: In the interests of time, I 7 will be very quick about grant assurances.

This is what we use for our LSC grants for 8 legal services delivery. Each year the board approves 9 and -- reviews and approves the proposed grant 10 11 assurances. We have a process at LSC that involves all 12 of the offices in looking at and making proposed changes, and a few years ago we put together a document 13 that helps guide our decision about what the changes 14 15 should be to grant assurances.

16 This year we've proposed five revisions to 17 grant assurance 1, 2, 8, 9, and 13. And you have a 18 memo to that effect in the board book at page 79, and 19 unless there are any questions about them, I would 20 present them for the committee to review and approve. 21 CHAIRMAN MEITES: If you look at page 82 of 22 the board book, you'll see a redlined version of the

1 changes. My reading of them is that there are no major policy changes made, that they are conforming 2 amendments. Is that a fair statement? 3 MS. SARJEANT: That is a fair statement. 4 CHAIRMAN MEITES: And I think if you just take 5 6 a minute and look through them, I hope you'll agree with me that we can approve the grant assurances as 7 amended. I'll accept a motion to that effect. 8 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 9 10 MR. GREY: Move. 11 PROFESSOR KECKLER: Second. 12 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Any discussion? JUDGE SINGLETON: Tom? 13 14 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Yes? 15 JUDGE SINGLETON: I'm sorry. Do you approve 16 them or just recommend them to the board? 17 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Well, thank you, Sarah. The 18 motion is that we recommend the grant assurances, as amended, to the board. Is that satisfactory? 19 20 MR. GREY: It is, yes. 21 PROFESSOR KECKLER: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN MEITES: All right. If there's any

1 discussion?

22

2 (No response.) CHAIRMAN MEITES: If not, all in favor say 3 4 aye. (A chorus of ayes.) 5 6 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Opposed? (No response.) 7 8 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Okay. Charles, I've asked -- the last two items of the open meeting -- the 9 next two items -- were proposed by Charles, and I've 10 11 offered to turn the meeting over to him for those two 12 items. PROFESSOR KECKLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 14 The last -- we'll proceed, then, to item No. 6 which is management's plans for reviewing and improving LSC 15 16 performance measures, including any impediments to 17 that. And I've focused on a particular concern for getting numerically based performance measures where 18 possible. 19 20 We've made a commitment to GAO, as well as a 21 commitment with regard to -- plus a commitment with

regard to our upcoming strategic planning process, to

develop accurate and powerful performance measures for
 the success of the Corporation.

And I just wanted to know how that process is beginning. I realize it would be beginning here at the Corporation, but I wanted to know something more about the Corporation's performance measures, and any plans to improve or enlarge upon those.

8 MR. JEFFRESS: Charles Jeffress, chief 9 administrative officer. I had a 15-minute PowerPoint 10 presentation which I believe I'm not going to give at 11 the moment because the performance measures for LSC, of 12 course, are based on what our strategic objectives are.

So the Corporation and the board adopted a 13 Strategic Directions plan some years ago. It has a 14 15 series of goals and objectives. And in your board 16 book, beginning on page 282, you have a report on each 17 of those objectives, each of those strategies, and what our progress has been to date in terms of achieving 18 those objectives. It includes charts and graphs and 19 numbers where they are available. 20

21 That plan that the previous board adopted 22 focused on big picture items in terms of increasing

resources for legal services, improving the quality,
 through the performance criteria, of legal services
 programs, improving the public awareness and knowledge
 of the need for legal services.

And the measures that were associated with those are: level of appropriations -- almost \$100 million increase over five years, significant progress in that regard; media coverage, media attention -- again, the numbers of media articles shown

10 in there show a dramatic increase in attention to LSC 11 issues.

In terms of the performance criteria, they're not numbers, but there was a new performance criteria adopted. The use of our LRI best practices website to look at best practices to improve performance went up dramatically. There are a number of measures here that show some achievement, some progress, on the objectives that the previous board set.

In GAO's report to you, to the Corporation, GAO said that -- in one section of the report, they said that the Corporation's performance measures were not tied to the core activities of our major oversight components. In talking with the GAO about what kinds of core measures we're talking about there, they're really looking at activities that the oversight folks engage in.

And to the extent that we had measures on that in our Strategic Directions, we have measures on the number of program visits, which again showed an increase every year. We had measures on the percent of in-compliance ratings from our grantees; that is, how often were they in fact in compliance with all the rules and regulations.

12 But GAO appears to want more finely detailed questions about performance measures on actual 13 activities by the staff there. Charles asked me to 14 15 comment on the progress on that. This board, of 16 course, will have to decide for yourselves what your 17 objectives will be, and the performance measures that 18 the Corporation develops will have to be tied to those objectives. 19

I would encourage you to stick to those high-level, those big picture items. I think for the board to get down into the weeds of how quickly does

1 this group produce a report, or how many times is an 2 accounting error made at a grantee, I'm not sure that 3 really achieves your objectives as a board to ensure 4 equal access to justice in the United States.

5 I think there is a place for those kinds of 6 measures and those -- management should use those as 7 performance measures for our activities. But in terms 8 of performance measures for the Corporation, I think 9 perhaps the accountants at GAO went too far into the 10 weeds in terms of recommending to you what should be 11 done with performance measures.

But in developing your strategic plan for the next five years, rather than the Corporation right now trying to refine measures which were based on the old strategic plan, I think the Corporation would be better served by you and the new president, the new management group, developing a strategic plan and then developing appropriate measures for that plan.

19 PROFESSOR KECKLER: Just as a brief follow-up, 20 and anybody can chime in here, in the process of 21 developing that new strategic plan, what has been the 22 past sort of practice in terms of, we don't have any

particular staff as a board. We meet and we think
 about it.

But how has in the past -- or any thoughts that you have, or others, on how management should organize itself for the strategic planning process to assist the board in doing this, in developing its objectives?

8 MR. JEFFRESS: I would offer as a guide the 9 process that was used in 2005, where there was a staff 10 person designated, and I won that lottery, to drive the 11 process forward from having nothing on paper, 12 essentially, to the document that you've seen.

We spent, initially, time with the LSC staff talking about what kinds of goals and objectives made sense to that staff that had been working there 30 years -- they kind of know the mission of the organization -- and put a number of thoughts on paper.

We solicited public comment from outsiders as to what they thought the objectives and strategies of the Corporation should be. The executive team took the staff input, the public input, and then developed a kind of outline for consideration for the board. The

1 board then spent half a day talking through that

2 outline, talking about those goals and objectives,

3 refining them and adding to them.

We then took the board view and took it back through the process again -- outside comment, staff comment, executive team, back to the board for final refinement. It was about a nine-month process from beginning to end to develop this plan.

9 PROFESSOR KECKLER: Nine months from the 10 initial assignment of a principal staff person at the 11 Corporation?

MR. JEFFRESS: Right. We considered having an outside consultant do that. I think the determination was, both for cost reasons and for reasons of making sure it got done relatively quickly, we decided to assign a staff person to do that.

MR. LEVI: I would think, with a new head coming in, that they would participate actively as a part of the process, and that then we would either have an ad hoc committee -- Frank told me he had a committee of the whole. Was that really what he did? MR. JEFFRESS: Yes. The entire board spent 1 two half-days on it.

2 MR. LEVI: Spent what? MR. JEFFRESS: A half day each time, in the 3 early stage and then at the end. 4 5 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Let me just follow up on one 6 thing that Charles inquired into. At 282 in the board book is, I assume, the presentation that Charles is not 7 8 going to give. But you will note that the strategic plan we came up with lends itself to being quantified. 9 And that is terribly important so that you can relate 10 11 whatever you all do to what happened to us. 12 And the charts are actually very interesting and somewhat encouraging. But in any event, whether 13 encouraging or not, I think it's important that there 14 15 be this component to whatever plan you come up with. 16 PROFESSOR KECKLER: that's good advice, and I 17 think that starts us -- starts everybody thinking about that. And I think, just to add personally, that this 18 is -- as you said, we don't know exactly how we're 19 20 going to do this. But I'm sure that it will involve 21 public comment and public input as well as staff input throughout. And so that's again something to --22

1 CHAIRMAN MEITES: And Charles, you're going to be chair of the subcommittee sure as I'm sitting here. 2 3 (Laughter.) PROFESSOR KECKLER: Item No. 7. 4 5 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Please. 6 PROFESSOR KECKLER: We can now proceed to it. CHAIRMAN MEITES: Who was speaking on -- staff 7 on item 7? 8 PROFESSOR KECKLER: It's a briefing on how the 9 Corporation receives and uses timekeeping data 10 11 collected from grantees. This refers to hours of 12 service delivery that is recorded at the grantees and what use the Corporation makes of those records that 13 14 are at the grantees. 15 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Karen or --16 MS. SARJEANT: I was just going to say that Danilo Cardona, the director of the Office of 17 Compliance and Enforcement, will be speaking to that 18 19 issue. 20 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Go ahead. 21 MR. CARDONA: Thank you very much. I'm Danilo Cardona, director of the Office of Compliance and 22

1 Enforcement.

2 The Corporation as a whole does not collect information on timekeeping. There is no bank of hours 3 reported by grantees at the Corporation. There is no 4 5 such requirement at this point at the Corporation. 6 However, what we do in the context of the case 7 service report/case management systems review is to 8 review the timekeeping requirement, 1635. The way we go about that is when we go onsite, we select a sample 9 of attorneys and paralegal advocates who charge time on 10 11 cases and related matters. 12 We have attorneys review the case file, and we have accountants who go and trace the number of hours 13 that are reported in those files into the timekeeping 14 15 system, whether it is computerized or whether it is 16 manual. 17 And we also check whether that time is not 18 excessive -- for example, if an attorney did one letter for a client and it is a one-page letter, that it is 19 20 not 50 hours of work there related to; or if there is,

we will question that. And that is the way we look at

22 the timekeeping requirement.

21

We also look at it from a standpoint of the PAI requirement. Attorneys and paralegals under the PAI regulation are required to keep time in 15-minute increments with regard to their casework that they do. And we look at the time that they are charging to the PAI effort.

7 We also look in the context of the timekeeping regulation, 1635, whether there are any part-time 8 9 attorneys working for the grantee that also work for other organizations. And we want to look that those 10 11 part-time attorneys or advocates are not using 12 equipment and are not using any resources of the Corporation while working for the grantee that it is 13 14 for the use of the other organization.

And we also request certifications that, per 16 1635, those part-time staff need to submit and they 17 need to keep them on file at the program warning them 18 about the consequences of using resources, et cetera, 19 with respect to their other association. And that is 20 how we in general terms go about enforcing and 21 reviewing 1635.

22 As to the collection of hours per se or

1 anything, we do not do that.

2	PROFESSOR KECKLER: Thank you, Mr. Cardona.
3	Has there in the past been any practice or any
4	consideration of using hours of service delivery as an
5	efficiency measure or in some other manner by the
6	Corporation?
7	MR. CARDONA: To my recollection, no.
8	CHAIRMAN MEITES: Let me pick up on what
9	Charles said. Martha, this may be something that you
10	all want to think about
11	DEAN MINOW: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN MEITES: in part 3 of your
13	presentation before.
14	Charles, does that make sense to you as well?
15	MR. JEFFRESS: It certainly does.
16	CHAIRMAN MEITES: All right. With that
17	completed, we have completed the ops and regs open
18	meeting in less than 30 minutes. Thank you.
19	MR. LEVI: You did great.
20	CHAIRMAN MEITES: I was given an hour. I'm
21	returning about 32 minutes. I propose that we now go
22	into close session and adjourn till tomorrow morning.

1 MR. FUENTES: Mr. Chairman, Tom Fuentes here. Before you do that, I just want to add to the record 2 3 my presence through the whole meeting. I could not connect sound-wise earlier. Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Thank you. If I have a 5 6 motion to go into session? 7 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ MR. GREY: Moved. 8 PROFESSOR KECKLER: Second. 9 10 CHAIRMAN MEITES: And motion to adjourn until 11 tomorrow morning? ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 12 13 MR. GREY: Moved. 14 PROFESSOR KECKLER: Second. 15 DEAN MINOW: Do you want a motion to adjourn 16 to a closed session so that you don't have to come back to an open session to adjourn the committee meeting? 17 CHAIRMAN MEITES: Right. Until tomorrow 18 morning. That is the motion. 19 20 DEAN MINOW: Right. CHAIRMAN MEITES: All in favor? 21 22 (A chorus of ayes.)

1 CHAIRMAN MEITES: It's passed. Thank you very 2 much.

(Whereupon, at 5:50 p.m., the committee was adjourned to executive session, to reconvene the following day, Saturday, July 31, 2010, at 8:30 a.m.) * * * * *