LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

> MEETING OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

> > OPEN SESSION

Friday, April 15, 2011

2:53 p.m.

The Westin Hotel - Richmond 6631 West Broad Street Richmond, Virginia 23238

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Robert J. Grey, Jr., Chairman Sharon L. Browne (by telephone) Martha L. Minow Father Pius Pietrzyk, O.P.

OTHER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Charles N.W. Keckler Harry J.F. Korrell, III Laurie I. Mikva Julie A. Reiskin Gloria Valencia-Weber

STAFF AND PUBLIC PRESENT:

James J. Sandman, President Kathleen Connors, Executive Assistant to the President Victor M. Fortuno, Vice President for Legal Affairs, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary Katherine Ward, Executive Assistant, Office of Legal Affairs David L. Richardson, Treasurer and Comptroller John Constance, Director, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs Stephen Barr, Communications Director, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs Jeffrey E. Schanz, Inspector General Laurie Tarantowicz, Assistant Inspector General and Legal Counsel, Office of the Inspector General Ronald "Dutch" Merryman, Assistant Inspector General for Audit, Office of the Inspector General David Maddox, Assistant Inspector General for Management and Evaluation, Office of the Inspector General Joel Gallay, Special Counsel to the Inspector General, Office of the Inspector General Janet LaBella, Director, Office of Program Performance Jeffrey J. Morningstar, Director, Office of Information Technology

Linda Perle, Center for Law & Social Policy (CLASP)

Michael A. Genz, Program Counsel III, Office of Program Performance

CONTENTS

OPEN	SESSION		PAGE
1.	Approval of agenda	Not	done
2.	Approval of minutes of the committee's meeting of January 28, 2011		17
3.	Consider and act on the Revised Operating Budget for FY 2011		19
	Presentation by David Richardson, Treasurer/Comptroller		
4.	Presentation on LSC's Financial Reports for the first five months of FY 2011		24
	Presentation by David Richardson, Treasurer/Comptroller		
5.	Report on FY 2011 appropriations process		4
	Report by John Constance, Director, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs (GRPA)		
б.	Report on FY 2012 appropriations process		33
	Report by John Constance, Director, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs (GRPA)		
7.	Report on FY 2013 appropriations process		37
	Presentation by David Richardson, Treasurer/Comptroller Report by John Constance, Director, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs (GRPA)		
8.	Public comment		46
9.	Consider and act on other business		48
10.	Consider and act on adjournment of meeting		48
Moti	ons: 17, 24, 48		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (2:53 p.m.) DEAN MINOW: All right. I would like to bring 3 us back to session, and I would like to turn the 4 5 proceedings over to the distinguished chair of the 6 finance committee, Mr. Grey. 7 CHAIRMAN GREY: Madam Chair, thank you very 8 much. I'd like to ask David Richardson and John 9 Constance if they would mind taking a seat. And Madam 10 11 Chair, we've got a couple of -- one of them is 12 approving the minutes and a resolution. I'd like to postpone them until one other person is available and 13 14 just move into information. DEAN MINOW: Very good. Very good. May I 15 just check, is Sharon Browne on the phone? 16 17 (No response.) 18 DEAN MINOW: No. Okay. CHAIRMAN GREY: Mr. Constance? 19 20 MR. CONSTANCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 Just, first of all, to talk about FY 2011. CHAIRMAN GREY: By the way, I'm sorry. We're 22

1 moving item No. 5 up to item No. 3, and then we'll take the flow from there, just so that everybody can follow 2 what you're doing. The reason you're being called now 3 is to talk about the most recent activity and 4 circumstance facing the board. Thank you. 5 6 MR. CONSTANCE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN GREY: We might have somebody just 7 8 joined us. DEAN MINOW: Sharon, is that you? 9 (No response.) 10 11 DEAN MINOW: Who just joined the call? 12 (No response.) CHAIRMAN GREY: No, no. We're not taking 13 any --14 DEAN MINOW: We're just doing information. 15 16 We're not taking any action. 17 CHAIRMAN GREY: Thank you. That's why I said 18 we're waiting for one other. 19 MR. CONSTANCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For 20 the record, I'm John Constance, director of Government 21 Relations and Public Affairs. And thanks for clarifying that I wasn't just barging in there. 22

1 CHAIRMAN GREY: As you normally do.

2 MR. CONSTANCE: As I normally do. FY 2011, 3 the final CR for the remainder of the fiscal year was 4 passed by the House and Senate yesterday. It's being 5 signed by the President today -- is signed, for all we 6 know, but it will be in fact signed before midnight 7 tonight.

8 In terms of the final vote on H.R. 1473, just 9 as an FYI to the committee, the final vote on the House 10 was 260 to 167. Final vote in the Senate was 81 to 19. 11 And again, it brought to end the long chapter here, 12 obviously, in terms of getting to a budget for FY 2011.

Just a word about the process and just a word 13 about the effort of this committee and this board in 14 15 terms of getting us to where we wound up. Thank yous 16 are certainly in order to the board, to the American 17 Bar Association, to local bars, to the Conference of 18 Chief Justices, to the Ohio Attorneys General who wrote to their delegation, to the Washington State Attorney 19 General, to Brennan Center, NLADA, Barbara Sampson, 20 21 CLASP, among others.

And it really, really was a coming together of

22

an awful lot of individuals that, either individually or as a collective group, stepped up and provided their feelings about LSC and about legal aid in the country and, most importantly, about the needs of the clients in this country to receive civil legal assistance.

6 Steve Barr on our staff did a terrific job, I think, along with many of you in terms of getting the 7 word out to the New York Times, to the Atlanta 8 Journal-Constitution, to the Boston Globe, to the 9 National Law Journal, to other publications. And we 10 11 used all means we could, including Twitter, where we 12 now have over 1,000 followers; and in terms of pushing the information from around the country out the door, 13 that was an important means of doing that. 14

We received very strong support from an information standpoint as we were making our calls on Capitol Hill from many of our programs around the country, who were very, very helpful in coming forward with timely information and data.

20 And by "timely," I mean by virtue of the poor 21 planning of the speaker here, we were getting ready to 22 walk in the door of a congressman from Alabama who is

on our appropriations committee, and just literally, almost before we walked in the door, we placed a phone call to Jimmy Fry, the executive director of the Alabama statewide program, just before we walked in the door, and talked to him about his needs. And I mention this only because of the panel this morning regarding domestic violence.

8 Jimmy Fry informed us that every day they are 9 making very, very tough decisions in Alabama about domestic violence. And I said, "Well, how tough?" 10 Не 11 said, "We have to turn away two domestic violence 12 victims for every one we are able to serve, just by virtue of our problems with resources down here." He 13 says, "We try to make referrals where we can, but," he 14 15 says, "we are literally making decisions, life and 16 death decisions and estimates every day about how we triage those cases." So those cases, by many of our 17 18 programs around the country, are being unfortunately triaged as well. 19

I think we owe a special indication to -- a number of people have asked, what did it this time in terms of being able to get to a point where we have a

\$15.8 million reduction and not the \$70 million
 reduction that was in H.R. 1?

And I really think -- I hold a mirror up to all of you and your colleagues around the country, who really came and did what needed to be done and really reached out to the community. So I think it's a good outcome. It is in fact finished at this point, and we move on to the FY 2012 challenges that I'll speak to in a moment.

10 CHAIRMAN GREY: Questions?

11 MS. REISKIN: A comment. I'm Julie Reiskin. 12 I'm not on the committee, but I can't let this go without saying, John, the tremendous job you and your 13 staff did, and Jim and all of you guys, with getting 14 15 good, clear, consistent, quick information out on a 16 regular basis. I mean, you guys made it so that it was 17 possible for others to response. And you were thanking everyone, but I wanted to make sure that that was on 18 the record and recognized. 19

20 MR. CONSTANCE: Thank you, Julie.
21 CHAIRMAN GREY: Madam Chair?
22 DEAN MINOW: I, too, want to echo these

thanks. You did a just tremendous job, and you are
 owed sleep, I think.

I have one question, which is based -- I know you're going to talk in a moment about the next budget. But based on the discussions about this budget -- we're only talking about the half of the year that remains, and so the allocation is disproportionate. I'd be interested in your thoughts about that.

But I'd also be interested to know what, if any, conversations were made in the course of this to give us a clue to the upcoming negotiations.

MR. CONSTANCE: A couple things. First of all, on the specific impact of the allocation, we were well aware of that all along and continued, as did all my colleagues on the Hill in similar positions with federal entities, continued to remind the process that we were talking about the multiplier, given the fact that this was going to be taken at the midyear.

Again, the process takes a while, and this year's process broke all records in terms of that. I would say that the one thing that's hard -- it's hard

1 to look forward to the 2012 and really inform it too much from what has happened so far, only because this 2 2011 process was so far outside the norm in terms of 3 the way the process moved forward, up to and including 4 5 the fact that a lot of the people that were in the room 6 at many, many stages of this process are not the people that we have the relationships with and that other 7 organizations have the relationships with. 8

9 This was being dealt with day in and day out 10 on a very, very high level, and literally not until the 11 last weekend of the process, last weekend, were the 12 people in the room that we normally work with that were 13 doing, really, the final negotiations.

And I would say that the combination of all the communications and the combinations of all the phone calls that you made and all of the efforts really were extremely well-known by those four individuals when they walked into that room. And I can promise you that nothing was missed or lost on them by how many people were watching our process at the end.

21 So I think David has done a great job, along 22 with John Meyer. We have gotten the information out to

all of our grantees at this point in terms of what the
impact is going to be, particularly the concern that it
is at the midyear. And you may want to say a word or
two about that, David.

5 MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. And for the record, my 6 name is David Richardson, the treasurer of the 7 Corporation.

As John was talking about, there is a 4 9 percent cut to the basic field funding line. We 10 figured the amount of the awards that -- the revised 11 awards that would be going out and provided it to the 12 field earlier this week, actually yesterday.

With the 4 percent reduction, because of the multiplier, having to take it out over a seven-month period, it's actually a 6.85 percent cut per month from their funding. So a significant impact on their funding; certainly not as much as it would have been otherwise.

And again, just accolades to everybody who worked on this, and congratulations on a great fight to reduce it to the amount they did. And you might think that's sort of odd, to thank everybody for only getting

1 a \$15 million cut. But when you were looking at \$70 2 million, it is certainly yeoman's work that was done by 3 John and the staff and the board members and Jim, of 4 course, going to the Hill and talking to everybody. 5 And the grantees that I've talked to in the 6 last day or so -- and there's been a few who

7 called -- have been very appreciative of all the work 8 that's been done.

9 MR. CONSTANCE: The only other thing that I 10 would add is that I noted in this process this time 11 that when the cuts came in terms of the recommendations 12 of H.R. 1, that was a certain level of activity in the 13 country to support us.

When the Duncan Amendment to zero out LSC occurred, that level of activity jumped dramatically. And I'll tell you, already today -- I mean, there's a press story out there today about another such recommendation, not by the Congress but by an organization in the country. And we'll get that information out to everybody.

The only thing that I would say is, when we see those things, I think it's a different time than

the '80s or the '90s. I wasn't working this issue in those years, and some of my colleagues that are in the audience were here and working in that time. It is a different time. I do think we have bipartisan, strong bipartisan support. I don't think we should take one bit of that bipartisan support, now or ever, for granted.

But by the same token, some of those calls are 8 motivators and reminders, I think, only of the dividing 9 line between the past and the present, what was and 10 11 what is, what you saw as a panel this morning, which is 12 the reality on the ground for legal aid today. And it gives us an opportunity to go out there and get 13 people's attention because we were mentioned in the 14 same breath with a number of other federal programs who 15 16 were supposed to be zeroed out.

And, you know, that became a pretty famous list, and it got us phone calls from the New York Times and others that we just might not have had if it was only -- "only," euphemistically speaking -- a \$70 million cut.

22 So I'm not suggesting that we get a lot more

of those kind of amendments. But I'm also suggesting that it's not the end of the earth. It is an opportunity, as most of these things are, to tell our story.

5 DEAN MINOW: Thank you. It's Martha Minow 6 again. John, I have a further question, then, that 7 follows up on that.

8 Do you have a sense of the kind of data that 9 was helpful in the advocacy that we didn't have or we 10 should be trying to get for the next round?

11MR. CONSTANCE: I don't think we have enough12time right now for me to go down the whole list.

13 (Laughter.)

MR. CONSTANCE: But no, I do think there are 14 15 several things happened this time that were helpful. 16 Number one, when Jim Sandman came in and 17 recognized that -- what we were up against and the old 18 timeline of when we get data out, which in other contexts was perfectly acceptable, were not going to be 19 20 acceptable this time, and Jim acted to get our 2010 21 data available to the process and available to him to testify to Congress and to walk the halls of Congress 22

1 much more quickly than it's normally provided. So his 2 encouragement to our process, John Meyer's response to 3 that -- first of all, the data that we normally have 4 was a lot more forthcoming.

In terms of the other kinds of data elements 5 6 that we need, I mean, Jim again spoke to one of them this morning about the challenges that we have with the 7 data that we have kind of buried inside of family law, 8 for example, and how much of that is domestic violence 9 and how much of it is -- again, there are these 10 11 subcategories that -- to try to get those that are 12 going to be very helpful.

Veterans -- again, another category that we don't have good data on. Other kinds of things regarding economic impact and -- those are more long-range things that I think we're going to have to develop and that this board can be very, very helpful in working with us to look at that, in a longer conversation, obviously, than this.

I do think we know what we need. We know what people ask us, and economic impact and outcomes are some of those kinds of things. Numbers in these

DEAN MINOW: Well, this gives me the perfect 3 opening to say, Jim Sandman, this is baptism by fire 4 and we all thank you very, very much for jumping in 5 6 with two feet, two hands, two hearts. 7 And we welcome back Father Pius, and so I think that means that we can actually have votes if we 8 need to do so, Mr. Chair. 9 10 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 11 CHAIRMAN GREY: Thank you, Madam Chair. It's 12 probably appropriate, Father, that on page 79 we've got the first matter for action, and that's the approval of 13 the minutes. So I would move. 14 15 Since they are before you, I would ask for a 16 second to that. 17 DEAN MINOW: Second. CHAIRMAN GREY: All in favor say aye. 18 (A chorus of ayes.) 19 20 CHAIRMAN GREY: The minutes are approved. 21 I will make one observation. It's nice to be in close proximity to LSC so that from time to time you 22

subcategories are another category that we really,

really do need. But a longer conversation.

1

2

1 can get a board member up there to do things. And I 2 feel honored to be able to do that, quite frankly. But 3 I'll tell you this, and I think everybody knows this: 4 Having lobbied for many years, the key is preparation, 5 preparation, and preparation. And the it's 6 communication, communication, which 7 equals credibility, credibility, credibility.

And we hit 100 percent on every one of those oriteria so that when it was over, this organization stood very tall in the saddle among everybody else that had to be reviewed under this process. And to that and for that, the board thanks you abundantly because it was well done.

14 And I want to sit next to Jim Sandman any time 15 it's time to testify.

16 (Laughter.)

17 CHAIRMAN GREY: I was gloating with his18 testimony. It was good.

DEAN MINOW: Mr. Chair, it also helps to have the career reputation of yourself to put on the table and represent this organization.

22 CHAIRMAN GREY: You're very kind, ma'am.

1 MR. SANDMAN: Amen.

2	CHAIRMAN GREY: We have, interestingly enough,
3	been in our austerity, I think, giving ourselves a
4	chance to be very thoughtful about the distribution of
5	funds as it is now reflected in the amended
6	appropriations. I'm going to ask the treasurer to give
7	us the administration's response to what is now a
8	revised 2011 budget. Mr. Treasurer?
9	MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you, sir. I hope
10	everybody has the memo that's dated today, April 15th.
11	And it's titled, "Fiscal Year 2011 Consolidated
12	Operating Budget."
13	What I have done is laid out what the
14	committee and the board has passed at the January
15	meeting. We certainly had a budget of \$435 million
16	that was passed in January. The table shows the
17	reductions as a result of the appropriation. There was
18	one large reduction, \$15 million to the field programs,
19	and then each line received a .2 percent reduction in
20	funding. And I've laid that out in the table also. So
21	our appropriation, as a result of this, is
22	\$404,190,000.

1 One note of good news that came yesterday: We were operating the U.S. Court of Veterans' Appeals 2 grant on \$1.82 million. I received word yesterday 3 morning that they were going to pass through to us 4 \$2.32 million. So there's a \$500,000 increase. All of 5 6 these changes add up to a \$420,165,545 budget. That is 7 reflected in the resolution and the attachments.

8 In addition to that, page 2 of the memo lays 9 out some internal budgetary adjustments that we made 10 and now we as a corporation responded to the reduction 11 that we had in management and grants oversight. We 12 received a \$34,000 reduction in funding for this year's 13 operations. The IG also received an \$8400 reduction.

Because of the open positions that we currently have in the Executive Office, we are reducing the Executive Office's budget \$34,000. It will not hamper the operations in any way. And that way, we can absorb the cut there.

19 In the IG's office, they are operating on a 20 two-year spend-down plan of their carryover. The other 21 operating line has a contingency fund included, so 22 they've instructed me to reduce that particular budget

1 line \$8400 to account for that.

2	The reduction in the I'll go back to
3	something that's not in the memo for a second. The
4	technology initiatives received a \$6800 reduction.
5	Grants have not been made for the 2011, so we will just
6	reflect the reduction in the amount of money that is
7	awarded later in the year.
8	And that also goes with the Herbert S. Garten

8 And that also goes with the Herbert S. Garten 9 Loan Repayment Assistance Program. They're in the 10 process of making decisions of the new money. So there 11 will just be one less grant given to a recipient this 12 year. We heard how important that money was to the 13 field this morning, but it's unfortunate, like the 14 grant processes, we have to reduce that line to be able 15 to come within the budget.

In addition to the changes that were needed because of the reduction of funding, the rescission, and the overall appropriation, there's a few other adjustments that came up as a result of decisions this week. We had a very good planning session yesterday with strategic planning, and we've hired a consultant. We did not have money in the board budget for

1 that at that time, so we knew we would have to come before the committee and the board and ask for some 2 money to be moved. So I've moved \$100,000 into the 3 consulting line to be able to fund this expense. 4 The contract is actually for \$75,000, but there may be some 5 6 other expenses. Since we had money, available I just wanted to make sure that there was enough money there 7 8 sufficient to cover the expenses that the project may incur. 9

10 That's not to say there may not be more. 11 Since the board is very active, I'm not sure if 12 there'll be additional consulting needs or additional 13 funding. That's something that we are going to end up 14 talking to you about in the next few months as far as 15 planning and what needs to be going on within the board 16 budget and the initiatives you're undertaking.

That money is available from two offices that have open positions, Program Performance -- and I have spoken to the director of both offices; they're that we have reduced their funding this amount, and there is no problem with the way they will continue to operate. There was one other adjustment for adjustment for

1 Program Performance, and that was just to move \$20,000 from the personnel compensation line also down to 2 3 consulting to accommodate the hiring of some consultants for the competition review panels. 4 This information is contained in the 5 6 resolution that's attached. And it's my hope that you will consider adopting the resolution, with the 7 8 attachments to support it. 9 CHAIRMAN GREY: Is anyone on the phone? 10 Sharon? 11 (No response.) MR. RICHARDSON: Just so everyone knows, I did 12 give Sharon a copy of the memo --13 14 CHAIRMAN GREY: Oh, good. MR. RICHARDSON: -- before she left and 15 16 explained this process to her. So she's got it. 17 CHAIRMAN GREY: Good. Thank you. Mr. President, any thoughts about the 18 adjustments made? 19 20 MR. SANDMAN: I've discussed these with David, 21 and I recommend that the committee approve them all. 22 CHAIRMAN GREY: Any questions or comments?

1 (No response.)

CHAIRMAN GREY: Is there a motion? 2 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 3 DEAN MINOW: So moved. 4 5 CHATRMAN GREY: Second? 6 FATHER PIUS: Second. CHAIRMAN GREY: All in favor say aye. 7 (A chorus of ayes.) 8 CHAIRMAN GREY: The motion is adopted. 9 All right. David? 10 11 MR. RICHARDSON: Page 84 of your board book. 12 I was privileged to meet with Mr. Grey and his office on April 1st. We spent about two hours going through 13

14 the budget in great detail. And then we had a briefing 15 at 1:00 with many of the committee members available, 16 and a few other board members joined us also.

We are well within budget. I provided additional detail for the committee in regards to the grants, how much they were. We have five grantees that were on short-term funding, and we have a number of those that are now -- when you get the next reporting, have been annualized. We had a few small migrant grants -- one was Like \$2500; another one was \$3500; it seems like there was one for \$8,000, and one for \$14,000 -- and we have just merged those within the basic field programs.

5 We have two programs that will continue. We 6 certainly have the area of Louisiana that's under 7 competition, and we still have the migrant -- I'm 8 sorry, the American Samoa money available. I misspoke. 9 There's actually three programs. There's Nevada, 10 South Dakota, and Wyoming that had the migrant funding 11 that we put in.

The U.S. Court of Veterans' Appeals -- of 12 course, this information does not contain the new 13 money -- but we've given an award for \$1.82 million. 14 15 We will be revising that, just like we will have to 16 revise the basic field funding this month. So we're in good shape there. We're under budget currently, and we 17 will just make sure the allocations will stay within 18 the budget. 19

The technology, as I mentioned before, we have technology from last year that we awarded. Those grants are now out and in the hands of the recipients.

There's a few other grants that will be recalled or
 reduced --

3 CHAIRMAN GREY: Recovered.
4 MR. RICHARDSON: -- recovered in the future,
5 so we'll be taking care of those also. So it may
6 increase the amount of money that may be available in
7 the future.

8 Within the management and grants administration, we're well within budget. I've laid 9 out on page 86 some of the key factors that are 10 11 involved with the large amount of variance. We 12 continue, because of the uncertainty of the funding -- we had 107 positions budgeted, and we have 13 14 95 staff members currently. We also have three 15 temporary employees, but at this point we're well 16 within the money that is available to us.

The Executive Office, we have money there, of course. And Program Performance, as I mentioned, there was open positions there. We also have travel money from the Executive. We have a travel budget for the President when he speaks, goes to board meetings. We actually have three people in the Executive

Office currently budgeted to travel to board meetings, and we've got one at this point. That's the President. Kathleen, who normally travels with us, her travel is charged to the board's budget because she's here supporting the travel of the board.

6 Certainly there's money in Program Performance 7 and Compliance for travel, and we will continue to be 8 using that during the summer. But there is a good 9 amount of the variance that is because of that.

I mentioned here the task force. We have consulting money -- well, we just entered into a contract, so the next reporting you're going to see that gone down quite a bit because we now have two task forces, with the fiscal task force and the strategic planning consultant. So we'll be reflecting those in the next budget.

But also, accolades to Vic. We are holding down the outside counsel budget at this point of the year, so we're in good shape there. And the Office of Information Technology, they are using money to update the Corporation's website and maintenance of the computers, so there's some of that money left at this

1 point also.

2	The IG has provided me information in regards
3	to his carryover, \$150,000 because of open positions in
4	his budget. The 337 involved with the consultant line,
5	they do have contracts outstanding now of \$45,000. And
6	the largest variance was the 386, and of course,
7	that'll be reduced to \$8400 as a result of the
8	reduction of funding to that office with the
9	appropriation.
10	I know that's very quick. I know you've had a
11	little opportunity since we had our briefing in April.
12	But if you have any questions, I will be glad to
13	answer them.
14	CHAIRMAN GREY: Julie?
15	MS. REISKIN: Yes. If you went over this, I
16	apologize. Julie Reiskin. I remember you talking
17	about money being held for areas I'm blanking on the
18	area Samoa. And how is that affected, given the
19	cuts?
20	MR. RICHARDSON: it will just reduce the
21	amount of money that we have set aside for that funding
22	area at this point.

MS. REISKIN: And if the year ends, if we don't spend it by the time the fiscal year ends, then what happens?

MR. RICHARDSON: We will take next year's appropriation, add this funding amount to it, and then distribute it per the formula.

7 MS. REISKIN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREY: I'd like to comment about what 8 David has done to recast some of the discussion in this 9 Some of it was hard to understand, and through 10 memo. 11 his discussion with the committee, agreed to do some modification and give us, I think, a clear 12 understanding of what these numbers mean, and 13 describing the categories in ways that I think are very 14 helpful to us to understand. I want to thank you for 15 16 your consideration and cooperation in that regard.

He has also made available backup information that traditionally is not looked at to the committee. And it is also helpful in understanding how we get to the numbers that we arrive at, and again, thank you again for offering to provide that additional information. 1 Mr. President, any other thoughts about the 2 budget?

3 MR. SANDMAN: No, Mr. Chairman. I have 4 nothing to add.

5 CHAIRMAN GREY: Okay. Yes, Father Pius? 6 FATHER PIUS: There's only one item on the 7 budget where we're -- a variance that's -- we're over 8 budget. But I assume that that's under control, and 9 that's not going to continue for the rest of the fiscal 10 year, the capital expenditure budget?

MR. RICHARDSON: That's correct. We have the one line because we purchased the --

13 FATHER PIUS: Purchasing this quarter?
14 MR. RICHARDSON: -- the videoconferencing
15 equipment all in this quarter. So it will even out
16 over the year.

17 CHAIRMAN GREY: Charles?

18 PROFESSOR KECKLER: I just have a very brief 19 question about the new money for the Court of Veterans' 20 Appeals program. Is it my understanding that that's 21 going to augment the current grant, and thus the money 22 is going to go out this year for that service? 1MR. RICHARDSON: That's correct, sir.2PROFESSOR KECKLER: Okay. Thank you.3CHAIRMAN GREY: Laurie?

MS. MIKVA: Yes. I had a question. Something about budgeted for salary increases. When might we be taking that up?

7 MR. RICHARDSON: President Obama certainly said that there would be a salary freeze. This budget 8 was -- our budget was prepared last July, before he 9 announced that freeze. We have the money in there for 10 11 that. There is some union contract negotiations that 12 will have to take place. So it's money that is still available pending resolution of the first contract and 13 14 the decision-making as to if their raises will be negotiated or included. 15

16 FATHER PIUS: We back in the summer approved 17 some salary increase based on locale. That's already 18 in the budget, or no?

MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. You actually approved August -- actually, at the July meeting last year --FATHER PIUS: July last year? MR. RICHARDSON: -- the increase that was

1 retroactive to January 1, 2010.

FATHER PIUS: Oh, I forgot it was retroactive. 2 3 CHAIRMAN GREY: Any other questions? (No response.) 4 5 CHAIRMAN GREY: All right. Let's go back to 6 the agenda. 7 MS. BROWNE: Excuse me. Robert? CHAIRMAN GREY: 8 Yes. MS. BROWNE: This is Sharon Browne. Can I ask 9 a question, please? 10 11 CHAIRMAN GREY: Sharon. Welcome. 12 MS. BROWNE: I was just interested in the OIG's budget. On page 87, you had mentioned that there 13 14 are some budget variances in compensation and benefits. 15 What happens to that money if the positions remain 16 open? 17 MR. RICHARDSON: Any carryover in the IG's budget will go to support next year's budget. Because 18 it is a budget line, it has to maintain its 19 20 appropriation character. So it'll stay with the OIG 21 budget. 22 DEAN MINOW: It's a line item.

1 MR. RICHARDSON: A line item. Yes. 2 MS. BROWNE: It's a line item? Okay. Thank 3 you. 4 CHAIRMAN GREY: Thank you, Sharon. Any other questions? 5 6 MR. CONSTANCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Moving on to our FY 2012 picture --7 CHAIRMAN GREY: Hold on one second. 8 9 Thank you. Go ahead. MR. CONSTANCE: -- to the name that I had 10 11 previously mentioned, Steve Barr, in terms of his 12 support and assistance on the press side for our 2011 effort, let me add a few names that should be noted in 13 14 terms of our preparation for the hearing and for our efforts for FY 2012. 15 16 Treefa Aziz, Sean Driscoll, Wendy Burnette 17 Long, Marcos Navarro all contributed to our preparation for our hearing and are certainly vital in terms of our 18

19 efforts moving forward, and I thank them. I want to 20 publicly recognize the hard work that they did to get 21 us to where we are.

22 In terms of FY 2012, back to Dean Minow's

1 question about the contrast or if there is anything in terms of lessons to learn, while I don't know that 2 there are many lessons to learn, I do know that FY 2012 3 is going to be a very, very tough budget year. 4 We 5 certainly have gotten all indications of that 6 nationally. We have gotten indications of that in terms of the committee itself. And so we know that we 7 have our work cut out for us. 8

However, I would add to that that the one 9 thing about the battle over FY 2011, the efforts that 10 11 this board made to really set the bar for themselves 12 and for future boards at a higher level than ever before in terms of reaching out and assisting in this 13 process I think will be helpful going forward. And we 14 15 look forward to working with all of you in this 16 endeavor.

17 In terms of trying to differentiate ourselves 18 moving forward, the \$450 million that is in the 19 President's budget for LSC for 2012 differentiates us 20 from the Administration perspective coming off the line 21 here. I mean, I think that that is clearly the case. 22 I'm going to ask Chairman Levi and I'm going

1 to ask others to help us reach out to the White House and remind them of that differentiation, and remind 2 them that we will not be hurt if the Legislative 3 Affairs Office of the White House decides to go to the 4 5 Hill specifically on this account that they do on many other accounts to support the President's budget. I 6 will not at all be offended by that assistance and 7 effort, and I think that they can be helpful this year 8 going forward for 2012, and we look forward to 9 reminding them of that. 10

And again, in all seriousness, thanks go out to the Administration and to John and to a number of folks that reached out and made that \$450 million mark possible.

We did in fact have our hearing for the 2012 budget before the Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies before Chairman Wolf. I want to start out by thanking, publicly, Chairman Wolf for the record for holding the hearing. That is not a guaranteed process any longer.

21 My old organization that was moving forward 22 with a similarly-sized appropriation this year for the

first time in memory did not get a subcommittee hearing. So that is not any longer taken for granted on the path that Chairman Rogers and the committee are on to try to get all the business done and to get a budget -- or to get an appropriation done in regular order this year. So we start with that thank you.

7 To echo what Chairman Grey indicated earlier, 8 Jim Sandman did an amazing job at this hearing of 9 making the case, and I think he is certainly to be 10 commended for that. In my career, I've sat behind many 11 witnesses, and I put them in two categories: those 12 that I sit behind, and those that I would have sat 13 farther behind.

14

(Laughter.)

MR. CONSTANCE: And I would have been honored to be where Robert was in this case because Jim just did an incredible job in a short amount of time absorbing a huge amount of information and delivering it extremely well.

20 We will be going forward with a plan that we 21 will share with you in terms of the appropriate times 22 to reach out, the appropriate times to maybe make some
of those calls. No time like the present to at least reach out and thank those who you talked to earlier because I think the 2011 outcome, while it wasn't exactly what we wanted, was certainly better than we had feared at one point in time.

6 But we will be working towards a strategy and 7 letting you know what the right times in the process 8 would be. And I think that's the key. There will be a 9 subcommittee markup. There will be a full committee 10 markup. There'll be action in the House. There'll be 11 action in the Senate. We pray that we're back to 12 something close to regular order.

And if so, there'll be some very appropriate times to call for your help and certainly to in turn call for the help of the other organizations that assisted us this time.

17 CHAIRMAN GREY: Thank you. Would the two of 18 you give us your overall thoughts on the 2013 process? 19 MR. RICHARDSON: Yes, sir. As John was just 20 talking about the uncertainty with 2012, what's the 21 baseline? We need to start thinking about what we're 22 going to ask for 2013. Last year when we reported to OMB, they asked us to back up a bit in providing them information earlier. They've asked that we provide this information to them by September 1st. CHAIRMAN GREY: Of this year? September 1st

6 of 2011?

7 MR. RICHARDSON: That is correct. We operate8 basically 15 months in advance.

9 So what I have done is I've already spoken to 10 Don Saunders and Terry Brooks, Don from NLADA and Terry 11 Brooks from the ABA, and asked them if they can provide 12 us some information in regards to their thought 13 processes in regards to the 2013 funding.

Additionally, we've talked a little bit internally about what we're going to do as far as gathering information and coming up with a budget mark also. We've heard questions today about what data we need to make this type of request. How are we going to approach it? And there's a level of uncertainty there now.

21 We've got to come up with some information 22 that will be thought-provoking, and we'll be able to

present our case to the Hill, whatever that information
 may be as far what the impact to the community is.

John Levi has mentioned that he would like to 3 have a cross-section of folks come forward and talk 4 5 about how they operate with their LSC funding and the 6 impact of LSC funding on their operations. So he wants somebody from an urban area, from a city; north, south; 7 8 a migrant component; and just trying to get as much information to support our internal budget process and 9 inform the board as to the need that's out there. 10

Each one of these meetings we go to, we hear a great deal about the need that is out there and how our funding is used in their operations. And we want to get as much information as we can to be able to support this and put the best face forward when it comes time to present our budget.

Internally we're going to be starting to work very quickly. I actually haven't shared this with Jim yet, but I've sort of adopted a little outline internally that I'll share with him next week, trying to get us to a point where we can make some decisions, where we can maybe call on some grantees and get some

information from them, and perhaps get some of them to
 come and testify before the committee in July.

And then after that, we're sort of at the finance committee and the board's disposal as to how we operate and how we pass a budget mark and get it to OMB by September 1st.

7 CHAIRMAN GREY: John?

8 MR. CONSTANCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The 9 only thing that I would add is that the process this 10 time might be a little uncertain. The need is clearly 11 not uncertain. We know that; it's just a matter, as 12 David said, of figuring out the most appropriate way to 13 quantify it at this point in time.

And I think, again, there are two things that we need to look at. We need to look at the data. We also need to look at the expectations of this committee and the board in terms of the data that you need to be informed. And there are a variety of ways that we can approach that.

20 We'll be certainly working at a management 21 level to try to do that and to try to do that sooner 22 rather than later because again, 2013 is that next

1 step. And as I mentioned, we are in 2012 in terms of 2 the challenges to get the numbers that we hope we can 3 get right now.

We have a set of justifications that we have 4 5 provided. We have a set of justifications that we provided to the White House last year, and therein lies 6 the \$450 million that is in the President's budget. 7 So we have somewhat of a track record here. But we need 8 more. We need some more refinement because we're in a 9 steeper climb than I think we have been in in the past. 10 11 So we look forward to working with the 12 committee and the board to meet your expectations so

13 that the proper decisions can be made.

14 CHAIRMAN GREY: Madam Chair?

DEAN MINOW: I'm wondering whether there's some further information we need to give to grantees as they make their budget planning and staff planning for the coming year.

MR. CONSTANCE: One of the things that I think -- and I don't know that Don Saunders and I can take personal credit for this. But our program's expectations are sufficiently lowered that -- and which

1 is an unfortunate outcome of the process that we've 2 just been through -- that I think that the vast 3 majority of these folks, good managers that they are, 4 have been very, very conservative in their estimates 5 and continue to be so.

6 We will do everything we can as we get 7 information through the process that I've described for 8 2012 to get that information out as quickly as we 9 possibly can to the grantees. They have been very 10 helpful in stepping up their game and getting 11 information in for us for 2010.

12 The least that we can do for them in terms of 13 returning that favor is, as the process moves forward, 14 be certain that they have as early information as we do 15 in terms of that. And using the web and using the 16 other means that we have officially through the 17 Corporation to do that, we certainly will.

18 CHAIRMAN GREY: Father?

19 FATHER PIUS: Actually, have we gotten any 20 feedback from the grantees? Are there any grantees in 21 which this cut is going to have a more devastating 22 impact than on others, where we're talking about

1 shutting down offices of a branch? Have we gotten any 2 kind of feedback on that at all?

3 MR. CONSTANCE: Possibly at public comment 4 moment that can be addressed here by some other 5 organizations in the room. But all I would say is that 6 the initial anecdotal evidence is that there is relief 7 out there. However, the range of programs, where in 8 some cases we are 86 percent of their funding and those 9 for which we are 18 or 12 percent of their

10 funding -- you know, there clearly is a differential.

11 It does mean, in fact, bodies. It does mean, 12 in fact, local offices being in peril. But that being 13 said, I mean, I think that trying to get that feedback 14 as quickly as we can to inform the 2012 process and the 15 2013 is part of our responsibility.

16 FATHER PIUS: As I'm sure you know, that kind 17 of information really helps in targeting congressmen, 18 that your program has been -- at least in your area, 19 has been more significantly targeted.

20 CHAIRMAN GREY: It just brings to mind the 21 idea that we know where those monies are going, and we 22 know the percentage that those offices are going to

1 get. Will you be doing an assessment to understand 2 those that will be more impacted than others, and to 3 know what they will have to do?

MR. CONSTANCE: Mr. Chairman, yes. We have an 4 5 overview that has been created now on a state-by-state impact as well as a program-by-program impact. We have 6 provided that out to the programs to give them that 7 8 heads up. They know -- I mean, David and his folks, along with John Meyer, have done an indication about 9 what that month-to-month check issue will be with them 10 11 and what that impact will be.

And I must also say, and this is, I think, in some cases a very good outcome, that we are getting indications or we are getting followup questions from the press on just those very kinds of topics because they have been following.

You know, it's both some national press as well as the legal press that have been following this very closely, and that's one of the things I think you're going to see published within the next week by them in terms of what these impacts are.

22 CHAIRMAN GREY: Madam Chair?

1 DEAN MINOW: I wonder if there's a connection, then, with our earlier conversation about the changes 2 in the poverty population by state. So if we 3 anticipate incorporating those places that have had a 4 5 30 percent increase and those places that have had a 6 decrease along with what our record of the past means, is there a way to forecast where the demand will be 7 8 even greater? I'm just wondering if that can be included in this analysis. 9 MR. CONSTANCE: We certainly can make an 10 11 effort along those lines. 12 CHAIRMAN GREY: Questions? PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: I would add 13 to -- Gloria Valencia-Weber. I would add to Dean 14 15 Minow's comment that I expect the greater impact will 16 be on those rural, isolated offices for whom we are the 17 funding. And those offices are very helpful in 18 discussions with some of the congressional delegation 19

20 that doesn't quite understand until you present that 21 figure: These are your constituents, and they are 22 going to have to do without, totally. And these are

1 counties with no lawyers, in many instances.

What I would also ask if it's possible to disaggregate information further than what we discussed this morning where domestic violence is somehow hidden, and its true impact, because the case is categorized a different way.

7 I was asked during my calls -- because you
8 gave me a figure about the poverty and then the percent
9 or numbers of children in poverty. But I did get
10 questions about children as the primary parties or the
11 parties affected in our cases.

And again, I think children also escape, in the same way that domestic violence does, even though it comes in as whether it's an ejectment or a domestic case or what; if we could find a way to disaggregate that. In my state there was a particular concern about children as the direct beneficiaries.

18 CHAIRMAN GREY: Further comment?

19 (No response.)

20 CHAIRMAN GREY: Public comment? Gentlemen,21 thank you very much.

22 MS. PERLE: This is Linda Perle from CLASP and

NLADA. Unfortunately, Don Saunders had to leave early,
 and I think that Don probably has the most information
 about the question that was raised before.

I'll give you an anecdote that Don -- Don sent me an e-mail, forwarded me an e-mail from one of the project directors after the bill was passed, and he said he and his staff were dancing in the halls because they had budgeted for an 8 percent decrease and they thought they were being optimistic then. So they were very pleased.

And what I have heard generally is that people feel relieved, extremely relieved, that it wasn't a much worse cut, and they're grateful for all the work that this board and the LSC staff did on their behalf. And they're willing to give you whatever information they can that will help you in our efforts for next year.

And I don't have anything more than just a few anecdotes. But I think that everybody wants to give their thanks to all of you for making it much less dreadful than it could have been. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GREY: That is much appreciated, as

1 well as your cooperation and continued communication 2 with us. So thank you very much. 3 Any other business? First of all, any other public comment? 4 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN GREY: Any other business? 7 (No response.) CHAIRMAN GREY: I'd consider a motion to 8 adjourn. 9 10 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 11 DEAN MINOW: I so move. 12 FATHER PIUS: Second. CHAIRMAN GREY: All in favor say aye. 13 (A chorus of ayes.) 14 15 CHAIRMAN GREY: The meeting is adjourned. 16 (Whereupon, at 3:53 p.m., the committee was adjourned.) 17 * * * * * 18 19 20 21 22